

Patent
Attorney's Docket No. 027500-690

EJ
5-18-98
N. Little

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Reissue Patent Application of

U.S. Patent No. 5,088,108
UDDENFELDT et al.

Serial No.: 08/136,760

Filed: October 15, 1993

For: CELLULAR DIGITAL MOBILE
RADIO SYSTEM AND METHOD
OF TRANSMITTING INFORMATION
IN A DIGITAL CELLULAR
MOBILE RADIO SYSTEM

) **HAND CARRY**

) Group Art Unit: 2732

) Examiner: H. Kizou

Received

MAY 12 1998

Group 2700

RESPONSE

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

In complete response to the Office Action dated March 20, 1998, kindly amend the above-identified application as follows.

IN THE CLAIMS:

Kindly cancel claims 37-59 and 63 without prejudice.

Remarks

Applicants appreciate the granting of an interview by the Examiner for discussing the pending claims. Applicants have not received an initialed copy of form PTO-1449

Reissue Patent Application of U.S. Patent No. 5,088,108
Attorney's Docket No. 027500-690

submitted on October 15, 1996 and therefore request that the Examiner acknowledge the documents listed in that form.

Solely in order to expedite prosecution of this application, as suggested by the Examiner, claims 37-59 and 63 have been canceled without prejudice. In view of this amendment, the rejection of claims 37-59 and 63 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph is moot. However, Applicants intend to pursue the issues surrounding the rejected claims in a continuation application. Accordingly, a notice of allowance reflecting the allowance of claims 1-36 and 60-62 is eagerly anticipated. Should the Examiner have any questions, he is urged to contact the undersigned at (703) 838-6642.

Respectfully submitted,

BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P.

By:



Steven M. du Bois
Registration No. 35,023

Post Office Box 1404
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1404
(703) 836-6620

Date: May 12, 1998

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****Patent and Trademark Office**Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/5,088,108	10/15/93		

EXAMINER

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2732	27

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) HASSAN KIZOU (Exam.) (3) _____
(2) Steven Dubois (App. rep.) (4) _____

Date of Interview 4/27/98Type: Telephonic Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No If yes, brief description: _____Agreement was reached. was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: _____

Identification of prior art discussed: _____

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Dubois pointed out some parts of the Specification on pages 2, 5 and 8, that he believes provide support for the concept of hand off. Examiner will review the specification, with emphasis on the parts pointed out by Mr. Dubois, and will evaluate whether there is sufficient support for hand off.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

FORM PTOL-413 (REV.1-96)

* Examiner will call Mr. Dubois within few days to let him know about his preliminary remarks, in case Mr. Dubois wants to take them in consideration in this response.